# DrugEx: deep learning for *de novo* drug design – A case for A2B selective ligands –

Ninth Joint Sheffield Conference on Chemoinformatics



Sohvi Luukkonen, M. Sícho, L. Schoenmaker, H.W. van den Maagdenberg, O. Béquignon, J. Madern, D. Van der Es, G.J.P. Van Westen

## de novo Drug Design

### Chemical space of drug-like compounds

- $\sim 10^8$  synthesized molecule
- ~10<sup>33</sup>-10<sup>60</sup> estimated drug-like molecules

### A good candidate fulfills multiple properties

- Maximize affinity, efficiency, synthezability, drug-likeness ....
- Minimize off-target effect, toxicity ....
  - $\Rightarrow$  a multi-objective optimization problem







Liu et al. (2021) *Methods Mol. Bio.* Luukkonen et al. (2023) *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*; Former and Coley (2023) *Patterns* 

## DrugEx - the Evolution of the Drug Explorer

### DrugEx v1.0 RNN-based (GRU) single-objective RL (SMILES)

An exploration strategy improves the diversity of de novo ligands using deep reinforcement learning: a case for the adenosine A2A receptor, **2019**, J. Cheminf.

By Xuhan Liu

DrugEx v2.0 RNN-based (LSTM) multi-objective RL (SMILES)

DrugEx v2: de novo design of drug molecules by Pareto-based multi-objective reinforcement learning in polypharmacology, **2021**, J. Cheminf.

By Xuhan Liu

### DrugEx v3.0 Transformer-based multi-objective RL (SMILES/Graph)

DrugEx v3: scaffold-constrained drug design with graph transformer-based reinforcement learning, **2023**, J. Cheminf.

By Xuhan Liu

### DrugEx (v3.4.4)

open-source software library for *de novo* design of small molecules with deep learning generative models in a multi-objective reinforcement learning framework



DrugEx: Deep Learning Models and Tools for Exploration of Drug-like Chemical Space, 2023, J. Chem. Inf. Model.

By DrugEx dev team @ CDD Leiden





## DrugEx - the Workflow



## DrugEx - 3 Flavors: Sequence RNN



## DrugEx - 3 Flavors: Transformers

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Discover the world at Leiden University

Liu et al. (2023) J. Cheminf.; Šícho et al. (2023) J. Chem. Inf. Model.; Degen et al. (2008) ChemMedChem; Lewell et al. (1998) J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.

## DrugEx - 3 Flavors: Sequence Transformer

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

## DrugEx - 3 Flavors: Graph Transformer

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

## DrugEx - the Workflow

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

## DrugEx - Pretraining & Finetuning

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Finetuning / transfer learning

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

## DrugEx - Reinforcement Learning

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Exploitation-exploration strategy**

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

## \*PT or FT

## DrugEx - the Environment

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Objectives**

- Over 20 predefined properties
  - PhysChem MW, logP, QED, TPSA ...
  - Similarity Tversky, Fraggle & substructure
  - Synthetic accessibility SA, RA & LED3\*
  - Efficiency Ligand & Lipophilic
- QSPR models from QSPRpred\*\*
- Custom scorers with the API

### **Multiobjective optimisation**

- Scalarization
  - Dynamic/parametric weighted sum WS
- Pareto ranking with
  - Crowding distance (NSGA-II) PRCW
  - Tanimoto distance PRTD
- Custom methods with the API

### **Modifiers**

All objectives need to be maximisation tasks and scaled between 0 and 1

10 predefined modifiers

![](_page_12_Figure_20.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_21.jpeg)

• Custom modifiers with the API

\*Posters by M. Šícho and A.H. Kai \*\*Presentation by H.W. van den Maagdenberg

> Deb et al. (2002) IEEE Xplore Liu et al (2021) J. Cheminf. 14

## DrugEx - the Workflow

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

## DrugEx - Three interfaces

A Python package with an application programming interface (API)

@ github.com/CDDLeiden/DrugEx

Command line interface (CLI)

Graphical user interface (GenUI)

@ github.com/martin-sicho/genui-gui

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

...

## **DrugEx - Getting Started**

### **Application note**

#### DrugEx: Deep Learning Models and Tools for Exploration of Drug-Like Chemical Space

Martin Šícho, Sohvi Luukkonen, Helle W. van den Maagdenberg, Linde Schoenmaker, Olivier J. M. Béquignon, and Gerard J. P. van Westen\*

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Documentation**

<b>₩ DrugEx</b> v3.4.4	* » Welcome
rch docs	
NTENTS:	Welcome
lcome	DrugEx is a collection of deep learning models for directed generation of molecules. Here you wil
allation	find the installation guide (Installation), usage examples (Usage) and API documentation (DrugEx
ge	Python API).
Example	Contents:
IgEx Python API	
	Welcome
	Installation
	• Usage
	CLI Example
	• Basics
	Advanced
	DrugEx Python API
	<ul> <li>drugex package</li> </ul>

### Tutorials (API & CLI)

#### **Data Preparation**

In this tutorial, we assume you already extracted the required data and models with the download utility as described in the README file. They should be located in the data directory in the current folder:

#### import os

#### os.listdir('data')

['models', 'logs', 'download.json', 'datasets', 'download.log']

We will only be preparing a fine-tuned model in this tutorial so we just need one data set that closely relates to our target of interest, which is the adenosine A2A receptor (A2AR) in this case. Data about ligands extracted from the Papyrus dataset is saved in the following folder:

#### DATASETS\_PATH = 'data/data'

os.listdir(DATASETS\_PATH)

['encoded', 'qsar', '.Papyrus', 'A2AR\_LIGANDS.tsv']

Lets take a look at the data set file itself:

#### Recurrent neural network

The most simple model is the RNN-based generator. This model gets the 'go' token as input and from there generates SMILES strings. Therefore, this model does not use input fragments for training or sampling. To preprocess the data for training an RNN-based generator the molecules are standardized and encoded based on the vocabulary of the pretrained model vf
Papyrus05.5\_smiles\_voc.txt, but no fragmentation is done \_nof. To fine-tune an RNN-based
generator on the A2AR set, the algorithm needs to be specified \_a rnn. Here the generator is fine-tuned on the A2AR set and then used to generate new compounds.

python -m drugex.dataset -b \${BASE\_DIR} -i A2AR\_LIGANDS.tsv -mc SMILES -o rnn-example -nof -vf Papyrus0! python -m drugex.train -tm FT -b \${BASE\_DIR} -i rnn-example -ag \${BASE\_DIR}/models/pretrained/smiles-rnr python -m drugex.generate -b \${BASE\_DIR} -g rnn-example\_smiles\_rnn\_FT -vfs Papyrus05.5\_smiles\_voc.txt -{

A case for Adenosine A2B receptor selective ligands

## Adenosine A2B Receptor

4 Adenosine receptors (ARs) - A1, A2A, A2B and A3

- Class A GPCRs
- Endogenous ligand: adenosine
- Known antagonists: xanthine-derivatives (caffeine)
- Conserved binding sites
- Situated in different organs varied functions
- Adenosine A2B receptor (A<sub>A2B</sub>R)
- Lower affinity to adenosine than other ARs
- Activation linked to hallmarks of cancer > Interesting to selectively inhibit
- Known antagonist scaffolds with some selectivity

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_14.jpeg)

Sun and Hugan (2016) Front. Chem. Eastwood et al. (2023) ACS Med. Chem. Lett.; Basu et al. (2017) Eur. J. Med. Chem.

## AR data

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

## QSAR Models for ARs with QSPRpred

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Random forest regressors

 $\Rightarrow$  Filtering of *de novo* compounds

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

	A <sub>A1</sub> R	A <sub>A2A</sub> R	A <sub>A2B</sub> R	A <sub>A3</sub> R
RMSE	.68 (.04) .64	.68 (.02) .67	.60 (.03) .60	.67 (.04) .74
$R^2$	.55 (.04) .52	.69 (.01) .54	.69 (.03) .52	.66 (.03) .54
ρ	.76 (.02) .69	.84 (.01) .73	.84 (.02) .70	.82 (.02) .76

![](_page_19_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Creating the Environment

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Training the Generator

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

## 1st try\* - Generation & Experimental Results

## 10 000 generated molecules

 $\Rightarrow$  3 791 novel unique desired compounds

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

CHO-hA<sub>2B</sub> at 10 μg/25μl, 1.5 nM [3H]PSB-603, 25<sup>°</sup>C ligands at 10 μM

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

Top 10 compounds (average score)

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_9.jpeg)

Top 3 compounds overall Top 3 tri-substituted compounds

> \*Differences in workflow Finetuning: AR active compounds QSAR: less well optimised model Extra objective: MW (200-500 Da) Early stopping: mean score

## Ligand-based Filtering

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

Ranking: a geometric mean between AA2BR activity and selectivity

## Structure-based Filtering

### Docking

- 184 compounds
- A<sub>A2A</sub>R 4EIY
- A<sub>A2B</sub>R AlphaFold inactive model from GPCRdb

 $\pi$ -stacking with Phe173 + 2 H-bonds with Asn254

 $\pi$ -stacking with Phe173

+ 1 H-bond with Asn254

Missing  $\pi$ -stacking with Phe173 / H-bond with Asn254 / both

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

Reference compound: ZMA241385

### **Molecular Dynamics**

21 A<sub>A2B</sub>R complexes (A<sub>A2A</sub>R: 5/11/4)

![](_page_24_Figure_9.jpeg)

### ACY - acyclic HAR - heteroaryl

## **Conclusions & Perspectives**

**DrugEx** - a production-ready open-source software library for *de novo* design of small molecules with deep learning generative models in a multi-objective reinforcement learning framework

Perspectives

- MOO with uncertainty quantification
- Many-objective optimization
- Scorers based on docking/pharmacophores

### Design of A2B selective ligands

- First results were disappointing  $\rightarrow$  to be smarter!
- Second try + ligand- and structure-based  $\rightarrow$  better selection of compounds

Next step: continue synthesis and validation of pyrazineamine (xanthine and scaffoldless) series

## Aknowledgements

DrugEx dev team Martin Šícho, Helle van den Maadenberg, Linde Schoenmaker, Olivier Béquignon & Xuhan Liu

## CDD Leiden

Rosalie Drinkwaard, Willem Jespers & Gerard van Westen

DDS4 team Jerre Madern, Rongfang Lie & Daan van der Es

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

Contraction of the second seco

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

# DrugEx: deep learning for *de novo* drug design – A case for A2B selective ligands –

Ninth Joint Sheffield Conference on Chemoinformatics

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

## DrugEx RNN architecture

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

## DrugEx GraphTransformer statistics (exploration)

 Table 2: the performance of the Graph Transformer with different exploration rates in the RL framework.

3	Accuracy	Desirability	Uniqueness	Diversity
0.0	99.7%	74.6%	60.7%	0.879
0.1	99.7%	66.8%	75.0%	0.842
0.2	99.8%	61.6%	80.2%	0.879
0.3	99.7%	56.8%	89.8%	0.874
0.4	99.7%	54.8%	88.8%	0.859
0.5	99.7%	46.8%	88.5%	0.875

## DrugEx Pretrained model statistics

Model Type	Training set	Fragmentation method	Validity	Accuracy	Uniqueness	Novelty	Relative sam- pling time	Ref.
SMILES GRU RNN	ChEMBL (v31)	-	1.000	-	0.996	0.999	$0.705 \pm 0.049$	7
SMILES GRU RNN	Papyrus (v05.5)	-	1.000	-	0.992	0.999	$0.706 \pm 0.052$	8
SMILES LSTM RNN	ChEMBL (v27)	-	0.999	-	0.600	0.865	$1.000 \pm 0.000$	9
SMILES LSTM RNN	ChEMBL (v31)	-	1.000	-	0.994	0.999	$0.470 \pm 0.038$	10
SMILES LSTM RNN	Papyrus (v05.5)	-	1.000	-	0.988	0.998	$0.474 \pm 0.050$	11
SMILES transformer	Papyrus (v05.5)	BRICS	0.947	0.622	0.591	0.995	$86.628 \pm 50.843$	12
SMILES transformer	Papyrus (v05.5)	RECAP	0.963	0.675	0.649	0.996	$86.376 \pm 50.629$	13
Graph transformer	ChEMBL (v27)	BRICS	1.000	0.796	0.791	1.000	23.292±10.249	14
Graph transformer	ChEMBL (v31)	BRICS	1.000	0.786	0.775	1.000	$25.253 \pm 10.373$	15
Graph transformer	Papyrus (v05.5)	BRICS	1.000	0.762	0.751	1.000	$24.694 \pm 10.270$	16
Graph transformer	Papyrus (v05.5)	RECAP	1.000	0.814	0.810	1.000	24.843±10.378	17

## DrugEx Pretrained model distributions

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)